

GREYCORTEX MENDEL NETWORK TRAFFIC ANALYSIS SOLUTION FOR IOT

MY SOLUTION IS PERFECTLY SECURE!





IOT IS A NETWORK LIKE ANY OTHER

The category is called "Internet of Things"

- Designed to be inter-connected
 - Network goes far beyond the local area
- To enable more
 - Industry 4.0, smart cities, smart homes, wearable health, augmented humans, ...

Facts to consider about connected endpoints

- Life cycle beyond "project"
 - Long into the future... or "Do you expect me to replace a light switch every two to five years?"
- Power consumption
 - We want to operate 10+ years on an original battery
- Computing power
 - Strong limits for price and power consumption imposed
 - Cryptosecurity is built on power differences!



IOT IS A NETWORK LIKE ANY OTHER

Facts to consider about connected endpoints (cont'd)

- Storage capacity
 - Strong limits for price and power consumption imposed
 - Who pays for unused amounts of memory?
- Field upgradability of SW
 - Limits for protocol reliability may prohibit big blobs
 - ROM is cheaper
 - Power is limited
- Production volumes
 - Imagine 100K+ devices produced but a serious vulnerability found at the same time
- M2M
 - Who would ever notice the "feeling" that something "strange" is going on?
- The battle is completely automated!
 - There is no human attacker behind remote attacking host



IOT IS A NETWORK LIKE ANY OTHER

Honestly, is there reliable, effective security built within IoT?



ALL NETWORKS ARE VULNERABLE!

- Tearing down natural security frontiers

- Where there is a connection, there is a strong possibility
- The OSI model is actually the vulnerability stack standard
 - Flaw in lower layer opens a hole to upper layers
- The protocol (media conversion) gateway is no security solution
 - Repacking data does not eliminate the information and the connectivity path
- Security gateway (or protocol) is not security (without upgrades)
 - Still leaving your endpoints untouched?
- Secure computing fundaments decay faster than imagination!
 - Where is RSA56? MD5? TLS1.1?
- Is closed source software more secure than open source?
 - They are equally insecure, but OSS can be investigated and patched more easily.



PROVE IT PLEASE!

Recent Exploitable Flaw in IEEE 802.11: KRACK (CVE-2017-13082)

Existing since 2008, introduced into 802.11r by support for fast BSS Transition (envisioning SIP IP roaming)

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia [2017-11-05, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/KRACK]:

"KRACK (Key Reinstallation AttaCK) is a severe replay attack (a type of exploitable flaw) on the Wi-Fi Protected Access protocol that secures Wi-Fi connections. ... discovered in 2016 by the Belgian researchers ... published details of the attack in October 2017. By repeatedly resetting the **nonce** transmitted in the **third step of the WPA2** handshake, an attacker can gradually match encrypted packets seen before and learn the full keychain used to encrypt the traffic."

The **weakness is in** the Wi-Fi **standard itself**, ... any **correct implementation** of WPA2 is likely **to be vulnerable** ... **all major software platforms** ...

The widely used **open-source** ... wpa_supplicant, ... Linux and Android, is especially susceptible as it can be manipulated to install an all-zeros encryption key, effectively nullifying WPA2 protection in a man-in-the-middle attack.



BREACH CONSEQUENCES

Possible benefits for the attacker:

- Fun
- Knowledge
- Power
- Money
- Glory

Possible consequences for the victim:

- Loss in property, reputation, life
- Disruption in suply of goods, services, and commodities
- Possibly even riot and war ... or?



SCADA THREATS IN POWER GRIDS

UKRAINE 2015 BLACKENERGY

Exploit in .ppsx

This ICS tailored malware contained exploits for specific types of HMI applications including Siemens SIMATIC, GE CIMPLICITY, and Advantech WebAccess.

Hacking Tools, Remote Access, Kill Disk

Blackout ...





ADVANCED PERSISTENT THREATS

Advanced - Sophisticated evasion techniques using malware and known vulnerabilities to exploit internal systems

Persistent - External command and control system continuously monitors and extracts data from a specific target

Threat - Organized behavior to steal sensitive data from the organization



Source: https://www.securestate.com/blog/2013/04/02/apt-if-it-aint-broke-attack-vectors

8 – 16 hours

Time an adversary needs to break into a network

49 days

Average time to detect an APT attack

8 months

Average time an advanced threat goes unnoticed on a victim's network **71%**

Percentage of compromised organizations who did not detect a breach themselves

Your figures may vary, but the amount of threat attempts will only increase



WHAT CAN I DO?

- Design carefully and for the long term
- Hunt for flaws
- Account for failure
- Act on newly disclosed issues
- Monitor deeply and continuously





"Do. Or do not. There is no try."

Master Yoda - image in [2017-09-18 19:47] http://www.starwars.com/news/15-star-wars-quotes-to-use-in-everyday-life



INTRODUCING



What GREYCORTEX MENDEL can do for IoT?

- No, we won't fix your design or code flaws
- No, we won't pentest your devices
- No, we won't go out to upgrade installed devices

MENDEL monitors, detects, and informs you that something malicious is happening (or has happened) in your (IoT) network! *)

*) Depending on the threat, solution architecture, and situation. Ask for a PoC!



MENDEL INTEGRATION



Sensors

ASNM output (= 0,5% - 1% of traffic)

100Mbps - 10Gbps

Collectors

1 collector = 10 + sensors

ASNM as input

Aggregated input 40Gbps+

Appliances

Passive

On premise

HW or virtual deployment



EFFECTIVE THREAT DETECTION





NETWORK VISIBILITY

Transport decryption *)

HTTPS, FTPS, ... ***S Full data inspection

Conditional data recording

PCAP files

Data decapsulation

IP-IP, IPv4-IPv6, IPv6-IPv4, MPLS, Teredo, GRE

L7 application protocol parsers

DNS, HTTP, HTTPS, TLS, MODBUS, SMB, SSH, SSL, SMTP, FTP, DCERPC, IRC, VNC, POP3, Oscar, SIP, MS-SQL, DHCP, ...

*) Where feasible or supported



QUICK OVERVIEW



Quick access through user-configured dashboards.

GREYCORTEX

APT AT A GLANCE

Description

References







Name	Src Hosts	Dst Hosts	Events	Date
correlation: Malware spreading	1	1	1	Fri 15:25 - 15:29
Scan: SMB Port Sweep (445)	2	1	15	Fri 15:25 - 15:46
7 policy: Request to an external DNS server	1	1	1	Fri 15:29 - 15:30
7 blacklist: Spamhaus DROP blacklist	2	2	2	Fri 15:27 - 15:42
exploit: ETERNALBLUE Exploit M2 MS17-010	1	1	2	Fri 15:24 - 15:39
4 outlier: Peers at Subnet	2	1	14	Fri 15:25 - 15:46
4 outlier: Flows at Subnet	2	1	14	Fri 15:25 - 15:46
3 scan: Behavioral Unusual Port 445 traffic Potential Scan or Infection	2	14	14	Fri 15:24 - 15:45

Signature details

http://doc.emergingthreats.net/2001569

2001569 (rev: 15) Signature ID: Created: 2010-01-30 (Modified: 2017-05-13) Severity: 2 Class: Misc activity Matched alert tcp \$HOME NET any -> any 445 rule: flow:to_server; flags: S,12; threshold: type both, Properties: track by_src, count 70 , seconds 60; View Signature Details



DETECTED THREAT: PERIODIC COMMUNICATION

MENDEL detected periodic communication with a supposedly legitimate IP-address. The network metadata is classified as anomalous. Most likely, the user installed software with unknown malware.

7 periodic: Malware check-in o	n HTTP/S										? X Close
irc IP	Dst IP		Src Subnet	Dst Subnet	Service	Protocol	Flows	Packets	3Data	1Data ΣEvent	Date
			A 10000 (2010) 0100	SoftLayer Technologies Inc.	HTTP (80)	TCP (6)	18	188	7.85 k	33.88 k	Aug 11 27 - Aug 1 24 1
lows Peers								Reported timestamp	•	-	 Search Flip
Src Host	Dst Host	Protocol	Src Port	Dst Port Service		Src Pac	cket Count	Src Packet Length	Src Data Length	Dst Packet Cou	nt Dst Packet Length
-		тср (б)	54456	80 HTTP			6	2.37 k	2.0	(5 423
Flow Informations										Metrics	
Src Name: Src MAC: Dst Name: Dst MAC: IP Family: Src VLAN ID: Dst VLAN ID: Interface: Tunneled: Start Time: Duration: Reported Timestamp: Output Type:			e 1 1 1 0 227ms 0							ART [s]: DTT [s]: Delay [s]: Jitter [s]: Max Delay [s]:	
kequest Iost: Jri: Method: GET Protocol: HTTP/1.1	15.3.X.X.X.					,400.900 af 1					



DETECTED THREAT: EXCESSIVE COMMUNICATION

This user normally communicates through 1 to 8 network services. But, the user's device tried to communicate through 39 services, and to 120 devices around the world including Brazil, Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, the United States, Singapore, and Japan. No similar communication had occurred previously in the network.

5	outlier: Entropy (ports) a	t Host														? X Close
Src IP	2		Dst IP			Src Subnet	Dst Subnet	S	ervice	Protocol	Flows	Packets	3Data	ŷData	ΣEvent	Dat
۹.	No. 2010; 1071 (1071 (1071 (1071)))					2				IP (0)						$\ f(x) - f(x) $
< Flow:	s Peers										Reported time	stamp: 4				Search Flip
FIOW	Src Host	Dst Host	Protocol	Src Port	Dst Port S	ervice Src Packet	Src Packet Length	Src Data Length	Dst Packet Count	Dst Packet Length	Dst Data		s] Src Flags	Dst Fla		▼ End Time
+	۹.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	UDP (17)	54281	45430	10	640	180								ALC: 10 10
+	ę.,	•	UDP (17)	54281	60574	10	640	180								10.00
+	۹.		UDP (17)	54281	43910	2	128	36								
+	۹.	۹.	TCP (6)	49913	389	6	396		6	396			ASF	A.	RS.	ALC: 10 10
+	۹.	-	TCP (6)	49909	12350	22	2.74 k	1.37 k	20	2.18 k	948	0.00	02 AP.SF	AP	.SF	ALC: 10 10
+	۹	-	UDP (17)	54281	443	2	128	36								ALC: 1
+	۹.	-	UDP (17)	54281	443	2	128	36								72.0.0
+	۹	-	UDP (17)	54281	40025	5	385	155								ALC: 10 10
+	۹.	-	UDP (17)	54281	40022	6	516	240								ALC: N
+	۹.	-	UDP (17)	54281	40027	10	854	394								ATT 10 - 10 - 10
+	۹.		UDP (17)	54281	40018	5	390	160								
+	2.	-	UDP (17)	54281	40026	5	385	155								100 ALC: NO
+	2.	·	UDP (17)	54281	40005	5	430	200								ATT 10 10 10
+	2.	-	UDP (17)	54281	40007	6	474	198								APR 4 10 10
+	2.		UDP (17)	54281	40029	6	462	186								100 CT - 10
+	2.	-	UDP (17)	54281	40008	6	522	246								- 10 C
+	2.		UDP (17)	54281	40036	6	468	192								100 CT 10
+	۹	-	UDP (17)	54281	5406	2	128	36								- 10 U
+	۹	•	UDP (17)	54281	13671	2	128	36								

GREYCORTEX

DETECTED THREAT: SERIOUS POLICY BREACH

An exposed network device administrator with an unencrypted HTTP service resulted in illegitimate access attempt from China. This poses a high risk for penetration and misuse.

c IP			Dst IP			Src Subnet	Dst Subnet	Serv	rice	Protocol	Flows	Packets 3	Data 1	Data Event	Da
-			<u>5</u>	11.148		CNCGROUP China169 Backbone	9	HTT	P (8888)	TCP (6)					Aug. (2.18)
ows	Peers										Report	ed timestamp: 🔺 👘		-	► Search F
	Src Host	Dst Host	Protocol	Src Port	Dst Port Service	Src Packet Count	Src Packet Length	Src Data Length	Dst Packet Count	Dst Packet Length	Dst Data Length	RTT [s] Sro	c Flags	Dst Flags	End Ti
		A 10.000	тср (6)	59842	8888 HTTP	5	481	185	4	648	396	0.404	.AP.SF	AP. SP	-
Flow	Informations								Metrics						
Src Dst Dst IP F Src Dst Inte Tun Star Dur Rep	Name: MAC: MAC: WIAN VLAN ID: VLAN ID: vface: neled: t Time: ation: orted Timestamp:			© 1 em2 0 1s 320r	ns				ART [S]: DTT [S]: Delay [S]: Jitter [S]: Max Delay Signatures			0.007 2006402, 20	10019		
Out	put Type:			0			Response								
Host: Uri: User-/ Metho	Agent: Mozilla/3.0 (compa d: GET col: HTTP/1.1	tible; Indy Library)					Status: 404 Content-Type: t	ext/html							



DETECTED THREAT: DNS TUNNEL

Unusual communication with a blacklisted IP address on Port 53 (DNS). The user has installed an infected torrent client. This poses a high risk of data leakage.





GREYCORTEX RESEARCH

Monitoring and Network Traffic Analysis of ...

- critical energy infrastructure
- industrial networks
- wireless networks
- IoT







SUCCESS STORIES



℃ Česká pošta T••Systems•

Universidad Autónoma de la Ciudad de México

Nada humano me es ajeno



TOGETHER, WE CAN SEE IOT IN DETAIL



WE WILL BE HAPPY TO DISCUSS COOPERATION OPPORTUNITIES!



GREYCORTEX

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

GREYCORTEX s.r.o. Purkyňova 127 612 00 Brno

www.greycortex.com *)

twitter.com/greycortex linkedin.com/company/greycortex youtube.com/greycortex **) Vladimír Sedláček <u>info@greycortex.com</u> ***) +420 511 205 388 linkedin.com/in/vsedlacek/

*) whitepapers, use cases, and more
**) watch more presentations
***) request access to our DEMO!

